Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/731,767	PORTER, JOHN FREDERICK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ula C. Ruddock	1771		

		Ola C. Ruddock	1771	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 18 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
a a fo	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C eriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
have be under 3 set forth may rec	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s. in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later (uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. T fi N	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛	<u>DMENTS</u> The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for
(NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.	
4. I	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	\ //	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
=	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inonamont (i	TOE OE+).
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
— T C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:laim(s) objected to:laim(s) rejected:laim(s) withortwan from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
_ b	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ii oi tile status oi tile claims after en	itiy is below of attach	eu.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		// /	la C. Ruddock/	

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1771

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment requires further consideration and/or search because the scope of the claim has been changed. Applicant has broadened the claims by limiting the liquid impermeable film to any polymer material. It should be noted that the CIP Application referred to by Applicant had several other limitations which rendered those claims as a Glowable.